

NSSE 2017 Engagement Indicators

Overview

Southwest Minnesota State University

Engagement Indicators: Overview

Engagement Indicators are summary measures based on sets of NSSE questions examining key dimensions of student engagement. The ten indicators are organized within four broad themes: Academic Challenge, Learning with Peers, Experiences with Faculty, and Campus Environment. The tables below compare average scores for your students with those in your comparison groups.

Use the following key:

- **Your students' average** was significantly higher (p < .05) with an effect size at least .3 in magnitude.
- \triangle Your students' average was significantly higher (p < .05) with an effect size less than .3 in magnitude.
- -- No significant difference.
- ∇ Your students' average was significantly lower (p < .05) with an effect size less than .3 in magnitude.
- **Vour students' average** was significantly lower (p < .05) with an effect size at least .3 in magnitude.

rst-Year Students		Your first-year students compared with	Your first-year students compared with	Your first-year students compared with	
Theme	Engagement Indicator	Minnesota	Carnegie Class	NSSE 2016 & 2017	
	Higher-Order Learning			∇	
Academic	Reflective & Integrative Learning				
Challenge	Learning Strategies	∇			
	Quantitative Reasoning				
Learning with	Collaborative Learning				
Peers	Discussions with Diverse Others		∇	$\mathbf{\nabla}$	
Experiences with Faculty	Student-Faculty Interaction	Δ		Δ	
	Effective Teaching Practices		∇	$\mathbf{\nabla}$	
Campus Environment	Quality of Interactions				
	Supportive Environment		∇	$\mathbf{\nabla}$	

Seniors

	Your seniors compared with Your seniors compared with Your seniors compared with			
Engagement Indicator	Minnesota	Carnegie Class	NSSE 2016 & 2017	
Higher-Order Learning		∇		
Reflective & Integrative Learning		$\mathbf{\nabla}$	$\mathbf{\nabla}$	
Learning Strategies		$\mathbf{\nabla}$	$\mathbf{\nabla}$	
Quantitative Reasoning			∇	
Collaborative Learning		∇		
Discussions with Diverse Others		$\mathbf{\nabla}$	$\mathbf{\nabla}$	
Student-Faculty Interaction	Δ		Δ	
Effective Teaching Practices		\bullet	$\mathbf{\nabla}$	
Quality of Interactions	Δ			
Supportive Environment				
	Higher-Order Learning Reflective & Integrative Learning Learning Strategies Quantitative Reasoning Collaborative Learning Discussions with Diverse Others Student-Faculty Interaction Effective Teaching Practices Quality of Interactions	Engagement IndicatorMinnesotaHigher-Order LearningReflective & Integrative LearningLearning StrategiesQuantitative ReasoningCollaborative LearningDiscussions with Diverse OthersStudent-Faculty Interaction Δ Effective Teaching PracticesQuality of Interactions Δ	Engagement IndicatorMinnesotaCarnegie ClassHigher-Order Learning▼Reflective & Integrative Learning▼Learning Strategies▼Quantitative ReasoningCollaborative Learning▼Discussions with Diverse Others▼Student-Faculty Interaction△Effective Teaching Practices▼Quality of Interactions△	



NSSE 2017 Engagement Indicators

Comparisons with High-Performing Institutions Southwest Minnesota State University

Comparisons with Top 50% and Top 10% Institutions

While NSSE's policy is not to rank institutions (see **nsse.indiana.edu/html/position_policies.cfm**), the results below are designed to compare the engagement of your students with those attending two groups of institutions identified by NSSE^a for their high average levels of student engagement:

(a) institutions with average scores placing them in the top 50% of all 2016 and 2017 NSSE institutions, and

(b) institutions with average scores placing them in the top 10% of all 2016 and 2017 NSSE institutions.

While the average scores for most institutions are below the mean for the top 50% or top 10%, your institution may show areas of distinction where your average student was as engaged as (or even more engaged than) the typical student at high-performing institutions. A check mark (\checkmark) signifies those comparisons where your average score was at least comparable^b to that of the high-performing group. However, the presence of a check mark does not necessarily mean that your institution was a member of that group.

It should be noted that most of the variability in student engagement is within, not between, institutions. Even "high-performing" institutions have students with engagement levels below the average for all institutions.

First-Year Students			Your first-year students compared with				
		SMSU	NSSE Top 50%		NSSE T	NSSE Top 10%	
Theme	Engagement Indicator	Mean	Mean	Effect size 🖌	Mean	Effect size	\checkmark
	Higher-Order Learning	35.3	39.2 **	29	41.2 ***	44	
Academic	Reflective and Integrative Learning	33.6	36.6 **	25	38.3 ***	38	
Challenge	Learning Strategies	33.9	39.8 ***	44	41.9 ***	58	
	Quantitative Reasoning	26.8	28.8	13	30.4 **	24	
Learning	Collaborative Learning	33.2	35.2	14	37.1 **	29	
with Peers	Discussions with Diverse Others	35.2	41.7 ***	44	43.8 ***	59	
Experiences	Student-Faculty Interaction	24.1	23.8	.02 🗸	27.2 *	20	
with Faculty	Effective Teaching Practices	36.0	40.7 ***	36	42.6 ***	49	
Campus	Quality of Interactions	39.9	43.8 **	34	46.1 ***	52	
Environment	Supportive Environment	32.7	38.2 ***	42	40.0 ***	56	

Seniors						
		SMSU	NSSE Top 50%		NSSE Top 10%	
Theme	Engagement Indicator	Mean	Mean	Effect size 🖌	Mean	Effect size 🖌
	Higher-Order Learning	38.0	41.8 ***	29	43.3 ***	39
Academic	Reflective and Integrative Learning	35.6	40.0 ***	36	42.0 ***	52
Challenge	Learning Strategies	35.6	40.7 ***	36	42.9 ***	51
	Quantitative Reasoning	26.8	31.1 **	27	33.0 ***	39
Learning	Collaborative Learning	30.6	35.8 ***	38	37.9 ***	54
with Peers	Discussions with Diverse Others	36.0	42.3 ***	40	44.3 ***	54
Experiences	Student-Faculty Interaction	27.5	29.2	11	33.0 ***	35
with Faculty	Effective Teaching Practices	35.7	41.8 ***	45	43.8 ***	60
Campus	Quality of Interactions	43.7	44.8	10 🗸	46.9 **	27
	Supportive Environment	30.8	34.8 ***	29	37.2 ***	47

Notes: Results weighted by institution-reported sex and enrollment status (and institution size for comparison groups); Effect size: Mean difference divided by the pooled standard deviation; *p < .05, **p < .01, ***p < .001 (2-tailed).

a. Precision-weighted means (produced by Hierarchical Linear Modeling) were used to determine the top 50% and top 10% institutions for each Engagement Indicator from all NSSE 2016 and 2017 institutions, separately by class. Using this method, Engagement Indicator scores of institutions with relatively large standard errors were adjusted toward the mean of all students, while those with smaller standard errors received smaller corrections. As a result, schools with less stable data—even those with high average scores—may not be among the top scorers. NSSE does not publish the names of the top 50% and top 10% institutions because of our commitment not to release institutional results and our policy against ranking institutions.

b. Check marks are assigned to comparisons that are either significant and positive, or non-significant with an effect size > -.10.