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EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

• Concurrent enrollment programs provide an important pathway from high school to postsecondary education.
  – Increases access to postsecondary education
  – Increases affordability of postsecondary education
  – Serves an increasingly diverse student population
  – Improves postsecondary success and completion
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY (CONT’D)

• Program quality is central to student success and program sustainability.
  
  – Curricular rigor and high standards for student achievement are critical to appropriately prepare high school students for postsecondary education

  – To ensure financial sustainability and the continued growth and strengthening of high-quality concurrent programs, pricing structures must reflect the cost of ongoing program development and delivery
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY (CONT’D)

• Faculty academic preparation and depth of content knowledge is central to program quality.
  – Concurrent enrollment instructors provide education for which Minnesota State awards academic credit
  – Like college and university faculty, their value to students lies in their advanced subject expertise
  – Focused graduate education in the subject area is a necessity
  – Mentorships with college and university faculty allow for exchange of creative ideas and best teaching practices
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY (CONT’D)

• Minnesota State is working collaboratively with faculty and administrative leadership across K-12 and higher education, and with local Concurrent Enrollment Advisory Committees to address important concurrent enrollment issues.
  
  – Ensuring that all concurrent enrollment instructors meet the Higher Learning Commission’s standards for minimum faculty qualifications
  
  – Sustaining high quality programs through appropriate pricing structures
Draft comprehensive plan to sustain high-quality concurrent enrollment

1. Create **processes** that support concurrent enrollment instructors to meet the credentialing standards by 2022, the anticipated HLC extension timeline.

2. Create **pathways** to support concurrent enrollment instructors to meet the minimum credentialing requirement.

3. Provide opportunities to **award graduate-level credit** to high school teachers who elect to **demonstrate graduate-level learning and experience** through a portfolio evaluation process.
Draft comprehensive plan to sustain high-quality concurrent enrollment (cont’d.)

4. Offer the option for concurrent enrollment instructors and college or university faculty members to **team-teach concurrent enrollment courses**.

5. Work collaboratively to address concurrent enrollment credentialing on an **ongoing and sustainable basis**.

6. Seek **options and resources** to support the long-term sustainability of concurrent enrollment programs and to support concurrent enrollment instructors in meeting the minimum faculty qualification standards.
Next Steps on Comprehensive Plan

- Draft to be shared with Minnesota State campuses, local concurrent enrollment advisory boards, and secondary stakeholders, November/December 2016
- Final plan for implementation, January 2017
- Implementation, Spring 2017 and ongoing
Questions on Background Materials?
STRATEGIC QUESTIONS FOR DISCUSSION

1. What additional strategic considerations would you suggest the colleges and universities consider relative to concurrent enrollment?

2. What specific policy questions would you suggest the colleges and universities consider relative to concurrent enrollment?

3. Are there additional ways you would suggest that concurrent enrollment be employed to help eliminate educational outcome disparities?
Background Materials
Dual Credit Options in MN

- Advanced Placement
- International Baccalaureate
- Postsecondary Enrollment Options (PSEO)

  - ‘Traditional’ PSEO
    On college campus or online; taught by college/university faculty

  - Concurrent Enrollment
    In the high school; taught by high school instructors

Examples:
- SMSU: College Now
- CLC: College in the Schools
Increased access to PSEO and Concurrent Enrollment over time

1985
11th and 12th graders only

1994 MnSCU Board Policy 3.5

2012
10th graders PSEO CTE courses; CE open to 9th and 10th

2014
Students in alternative settings

2003 MnSCU System Procedure 3.5.1

2015
Increased access for 9th and 10th graders

Upcoming Review of Policy 3.5 and Procedure 3.5.1
2015 Legislative requirements for concurrent enrollment

• All postsecondary institutions offering concurrent enrollment programs to meet accreditation standards of the National Alliance of Concurrent Enrollment Partnerships (NACEP) by 2020-2021

• All postsecondary institutions offering concurrent enrollment programs to establish local advisory boards

• Concurrent enrollment programs must submit evaluative surveys annually
Minnesota State Concurrent Enrollment Programs

- Alexandria Technical and Community College
- Anoka-Ramsey Community College
- Bemidji State University
- Central Lakes College*
- Century College
- Fond du Lac Tribal and Community College
- Hibbing Community College
- Inver Hills Community College
- Lake Superior College
- Mesabi Range College*
- Minneapolis Community and Technical College
- Minnesota State Community and Technical College*
- Minnesota State College- Southeast*
- Minnesota State University- Mankato*
- Minnesota West Community and Technical College*
- Normandale Community College
- North Hennepin Community College
- Northland Community and Technical College*
- Northwest Technical College
- Rainy River Community College
- Ridgewater College*
- Riverland Community College*
- Rochester Community and Technical College
- Saint Paul College
- Southwest Minnesota State University*
- South Central College
- St. Cloud State University*
- St. Cloud Technical and Community College
- Vermillion Community College

*Accredited by the National Alliance of Concurrent Enrollment Partnership (NACEP)
DEMOGRAPHIC PROFILE OF STUDENTS ENROLLED IN CONCURRENT ENROLLMENT
Concurrent enrollment student racial ethnic diversity is increasing

Total Concurrent Enrollment Headcount = 25,627 fiscal year 2016

- White, 80.0%
- Other, 9.2%
- Hispanic, 3.3%
- Black, 1.9%
- Asian & PI, 2.4%
- Am. Indian, 0.4%
- Two or more, 2.7%
- Other, 9.2%

Percent Students of Color and American Indian Students

- 2007: 6.6%
- 2010: 6.9%
- 2013: 7.7%
- 2016: 10.7%

Source: System Office Research – Academic and Student Affairs
Increasing percentages of concurrent enrollment students are ninth to eleventh graders and females

**Concurrent Enrollment Student High School Grade**

- **12th Grade**
  - 2007: 60.5%
  - 2010: 57.2%
  - 2013: 52.9%
  - 2016: 50.8%

- **11th Grade**
  - 2007: 38.1%
  - 2010: 40.2%
  - 2013: 43.1%
  - 2016: 43.0%

- **10th and 9th Grade**
  - 2007: 0.3%
  - 2010: 0.8%
  - 2013: 3.6%
  - 2016: 5.9%

- **Unknown**
  - 2007: 0%
  - 2010: 0.8%
  - 2013: 1.1%
  - 2016: 1.8%

**Concurrent Enrollment Student Gender**

- **Female**
  - 2007: 58.9%
  - 2010: 56.0%
  - 2013: 57.4%
  - 2016: 57.2%

- **Male**
  - 2007: 40.2%
  - 2010: 42.5%
  - 2013: 42.0%
  - 2016: 42.6%

- **Unknown**
  - 2007: 0.8%
  - 2010: 1.5%
  - 2013: 0.5%
  - 2016: 0.2%

Source: System Office Research – Academic and Student Affairs
ENROLLMENT TRENDS IN CONCURRENT ENROLLMENT
PSEO student headcount has increased by 86% since 2007 primarily due to growth in concurrent enrollment.

* Increase since 2007
Almost one-half of concurrent enrollment students enroll in Minnesota State within 2 years after HS graduation.
PERSISTENCE AND COMPLETION OF CONCURRENT ENROLLMENT STUDENTS
Colleges: Concurrent enrollment students who subsequently enroll at our colleges have substantially higher persistence rates and completion rates than other college students.

State Colleges: **Persistence Rate** (Second Fall)

State Colleges: **Completion Rate** (Third Spring)

Persistence and completion rates for fall entering full-time undergraduate degree seeking students.

*Source: System Office Research – Academic and Student Affairs*
Universities: Concurrent enrollment students who subsequently enroll at our universities have higher persistence rates and completion rates than other university students.

State Universities: Persistence Rate (Second Fall)

Persistence and completion rates for fall entering full-time undergraduate degree seeking students.

Source: System Office Research – Academic and Student Affairs
CURRENT CHALLENGES IMPACTING CONCURRENT ENROLLMENT
Background on Higher Learning Commission faculty qualifications

- In 2012-2013, HLC conducted a national study on dual enrollment practices, including a focus specifically on concurrent enrollment.
- In 2015, HLC approved clarifications to Assumed Practices, including language on faculty qualifications.
Background on Higher Learning Commission faculty qualifications (cont’d)

• In November 2015, HLC allowed for postsecondary institutions with concurrent enrollment programs to apply for an extension that, if approved, could defer the implementation date up to 2022.

• In spring 2016, Minnesota State completed a systemwide review of all concurrent enrollment instructor credentials.
  – Of approximately 1,400 concurrent enrollment instructors, 24 percent currently meet the HLC faculty qualifications requirements.
Higher Learning Commission allowance for use of tested experience to meet faculty qualifications

• The Higher Learning Commission allows for the use of experiences outside the classroom in real world situations, known as tested experience, to qualify faculty members to meet qualifications requirements.

• In spring 2016, a Minnesota State workgroup developed a draft framework for tested experience.
Current steps for addressing faculty qualifications

- Minnesota State will submit an application on behalf of all Minnesota State colleges and universities to request a five year extension of the September 1, 2017 compliance timeline.
- Minnesota State universities are designing graduate coursework and graduate programs that will provide for discipline-specific content offered in formats that meet the needs of working professionals across the state (online, cohorts, summer, etc.).
Background on Minnesota State pricing structure

• In response to concerns expressed by colleges and universities about a variety of pricing structures and to ensure that concurrent enrollment programs are financially sustainable, Minnesota State agreed to resolve inconsistencies in concurrent enrollment pricing and pursue a common pricing structure or structures to meet the direct costs of concurrent enrollment.
Background on Minnesota State pricing structure (cont’d.)

• Systemwide workgroup was formed to develop recommendations:
  – The workgroup gathered and analyzed data from concurrent enrollment programs.
  – The workgroup recommended separate pricing structures for colleges and universities.
Pricing structures for colleges and for universities

• Beginning in fiscal year 2018, all colleges will begin a five-year phase-in period with the outcome of reaching a uniform charge of $3,000 per mentor-mentee relationship per course per term by fiscal year 2022.

• Beginning in fiscal year 2018, all universities will begin a three-year phase-in period with the outcome of reaching a uniform price of $3,300 per mentor-mentee relationship per course per term, with the option to charge $110 per additional student if more than 30 students are enrolled in the course and/or students are enrolled in additional sections of the same course, by fiscal year 2020.
Current steps for addressing the pricing structure changes

• Minnesota State campuses will compile questions and comments and the Leadership Council will review and consider feedback.

• If there are any changes to the pricing structures as a result of that feedback, Minnesota State will communicate these changes with campuses to share with their programs, advisory boards, and secondary partners.
Current steps for addressing faculty qualifications, tested experience, and pricing structures changes

- Advisory board discussions will help shape how Minnesota State and our K-12 partners will work together to ensure faculty qualifications meet the Higher Learning Commission’s requirements, and how tested experience and the pricing structures will be approached.