School of Education Teacher Preparation Program Essay Requirements

The essay written for admission to the Teacher Preparation Program should include the following:

- Why you have chosen teaching as your career
- Your experiences in a teaching setting with the appropriate age group (give dates, age levels, and details surrounding the experiences) and other additional experiences you have had working with children/adolescents
- Any additional characteristics you possess which will make you an effective teacher

You must submit a typed (12 point font) or a handwritten, two-three page essay (single-or double-spaced). Be sure to include a title for the essay and your name on the document.

Your essay will be reviewed considering the following: sophistication of analysis, organization and logical development, clarity of expression, and writing conventions (grammar, punctuation, and spelling). Becoming an effective communicator is a critical Standard of Effective Practice that is frequently assessed, in multiple formats, throughout our program. Therefore, we have high expectations for this essay in terms of quality as well as the message conveyed.

SMSU School of Education Writing Rubric (Rev. Jan. 2023)

	Beginning (1 pt)	Developing (2 pts)	Competent (3 pts)	Exemplary (4 pts)
Sophistication of Analysis	The paper has no sense of purpose or central theme. Sequence of information is difficult to follow. Lacks perspective or insight into topic.	The paper has missing details and is not consistent in its position. The main ideas are incorrectly placed. Supporting details do not always relate back to the main	The paper follows the guidelines for the paper. The subject is followed and position taken is consistent and supported by the themes presented.	An in-depth analysis about the subject is provided. Positions are clearly stated and consistently maintained. The paper is clear, focused, and holds the reader's
Organization and Logical Development	The paper lacks a clear sense of direction and makes it hard for the reader to get a grip on the main points.	idea. The paper is beginning to define the topic, even though development is basic and general. Not all details are linked back	The paper follows a logical sequence and context is linked together, but little detail is shared. Most areas within	attention. The paper is well developed and maintains a purpose throughout. Paragraphs are logically linked and the writing style used is
		to main idea, and paper tends to jump around.	the paper are developed and supported, although some elaboration is needed.	well developed. Arguments, examples, facts, opinions, and details used enhance the main points.
Clarity of Expression	The paper contains a limited vocabulary and words are used incorrectly. The paper contains structural weaknesses and phrasing inconsistencies.	The paper is beginning to show some elaboration with vocabulary and expressions, but is basic and rather matter of fact and to the point, which makes it a rather dry read.	The language is functional, even though it doesn't contain as much energy as it could. There are some expressive tones used and most points made are clear and precise.	Words and phrases are chosen carefully and expressions are constructed purposefully. Each point the author makes is expressed exactly, precisely, and as clear as possible.
Writing Conventions: Grammar, Punctuation, and Spelling	Errors in spelling, punctuation, capitalization, usage, grammar, and/or paragraphing repeatedly distract the reader and make it difficult to read and/or comprehend intended message.	The paper demonstrates reasonable control over a limited range of standard writing conventions, although repeated errors are found throughout the paper.	The paper has few errors and most errors are sporadic and do not take away from the main idea of thoughts and expressions presented throughout paper.	The paper uses grammar, punctuation and spelling correctly. There are very few, if any, errors found. The paper demonstrates a solid grasp of standard writing conventions.